SINGLE BENCH (3)

P.R. No. 3334/06 Virendra Gundhar
Presented by Shri
dated 4/12/06

C. S. 1001/-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, AT - BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 3274 / 2006

PETITIONER : RAMDHANI RAM VISHWAKARMA
AGED - 65 YEARS,
SON OF BHAROSA VISHWAKARMA,
RETIRED ACCOUNTANT,
FROM O/O CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER,
AT – DANTEWADA,
DISTRCT – DANTEWADA (C. G.)
R/O KAILASH NAGAR, DANTEWADA (C. G.)

P. No. 3316/06
Presented by Shri Suyash Tandon
dated 31/12/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS 1. STATE OF CHHATTISGARH,
THROUGH – THE SECRETARY,
TRIBAL WELFARE DEPARTMENT,
D. K. S. BHAWAN, RAIPUR

2. THE COMMISSIONER,
TRIBAL WELFARE DEPARTMENT,
CHHATTISGARH,
H. Q. – PT. R. S. U. CAMPUS, RAIPUR

3. THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER,
OFFICE OF THE COLLECTOR,
(TRIBAL DEVELOPMENT BRANCH)
DISTRICT – SOUTH BASTER DANTEWADA
(C. G.)

RECEIVED-COPY
CLERK TO A.G. BILASPUR
31/12/06

WRIT PETITION U/A – 226 & 227 OF CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-22

(23)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP(S) 3274/06 **सन्** 200

..... **विरुद्ध** .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	10-7-2006:- Shri R.K. Kesharwani, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Ashutosh Kachhawaha, Govt. Advocate for the State/ respondents, on advance copy. Heard both the counsel. The main grievance raised by the petitioner in this petition is that even though the petitioner was granted two higher pay scales, but, later on, on account of revision of pay scale under the Madhya Pradesh Vetan Punarikshan Niyam, 1990, two pay scales have been merged, as a result of which the petitioner was getting less salary in comparison to the similarly situated persons. This is not a case where under the Government order dated 17th March 1999/19th April 1999 the petitioner was not granted two higher pay scales after completion of 24 years of service, therefore, this petition is totally misconceived because the petitioner has already been granted the benefit of two higher pay scale, as such, again he cannot claim the higher pay scale. If the petitioner has any grievance that on account of revision and merger of pay scales the petitioner is getting less pay in comparison to the similarly situated persons, then he has to represent to the authority for removal of anomaly which has been created on account of revision of pay scales. The petition is disposed of accordingly. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order. Sd/- L.C. Bhadoo Judge	
Barve		

(पीछे देखिये)